

Exact solutions of n -level systems and gauge theories

Merced Montesinos^{a,b,c*}, Abdel Pérez-Lorenzana^{b,d†}

^a *Centre de Physique Théorique, CNRS Luminy, F-13288 Marseille, France.*

^b *Departamento de Física, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del I.P.N.,
Av. I.P.N. No. 2508, 07000 Ciudad de México, México.*

^c *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA.*

^d *Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA.*

(February 1, 2008)

We find a relationship between unitary transformations of the dynamics of quantum systems with time-dependent Hamiltonians and gauge theories. In particular, we show that the nonrelativistic dynamics of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles in a magnetic field $B^i(t)$ can be formulated in a natural way as an $SU(2)$ gauge theory, with the magnetic field $B^i(t)$ playing the role of the gauge potential A^i . The present approach can also be applied to systems of n levels with time-dependent potentials, $U(n)$ being the gauge group. This geometric interpretation provides a powerful method to find exact solutions of the Schrödinger equation. The root of the present approach rests in the Hermiticity property of the Hamiltonian operators involved. In addition, the relationship with true gauge symmetries of n -level quantum systems is discussed.

03.65.Ca, 11.15.-q

The discovery of the quantum nature of matter fields is one of the most important events in physics in this century. It has been realized that the quantum nature of matter rests on a more fundamental level than the corresponding classical limit. The last one emerges as a suitable limit case of quantum behavior [1]. Also, gauge as well as geometric aspects of quantum systems are one of the most striking results in quantum theory [2–5]. Gauge theories appear in many branches of physics [6]. They are the natural language to incorporate internal symmetries of systems.

On the other hand, the physics of systems of two levels has been one of the most interesting research lines followed up to now. In particular, the dynamics of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles in time-dependent magnetic fields is a common physical situation in neutron interferometry [7,8]. This type of physical application belongs to n -level systems with time-dependent potentials in quantum mechanics. Nevertheless, to find exact solutions of the Schrödinger equation with time-dependent potentials for n -level systems is a hard problem. The standard theoretical techniques employed to solve this type of physical situation are limited.

Here, we combine the topics of exact solutions and gauge theories. The final result is twofold. First, we exhibit the geometric structure underlying unitary transformations associated with n -level quantum systems characterized by time-dependent Hamiltonians. Second, this formalism provides a powerful method to find exact solutions to a very general class of time-dependent Hamiltonians. Moreover, because the present formalism is *exact*, it is a serious alternative for the standard techniques allowed in the literature to study this type of physical situation, namely, unitary evolution and time-dependent perturbation theory.

Now, let us go to the nonrelativistic dynamics of spin-

$\frac{1}{2}$ particles (we mean the spinorial part of the Hamiltonian of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles). The nonrelativistic dynamics of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles in a magnetic field $\vec{B}(t) = (B^1(t), B^2(t), B^3(t))$ is given by the Schrödinger-Pauli equation

$$\hat{H}(t)|\psi(t)\rangle = -\frac{1}{\hbar}g_s\mu_B\vec{B}(t) \cdot \vec{S} |\psi(t)\rangle = i\hbar\frac{d}{dt}|\psi(t)\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where $\mu_B = \frac{e\hbar}{2m_e c}$ is the Bohr magneton, $S_i = \frac{\hbar}{2}\sigma_i$ with σ_i the Pauli matrices, and g_s depends on the specific spinor field, for instance, $g_s = 2$ for electrons. It is clear that Eq. (1) can be expressed as

$$\nabla|\psi(t)\rangle := \left(\frac{d}{dt} + A^i(t)J_i\right) \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(t) \\ \psi_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad (2)$$

with $A^i(t) = \frac{1}{\hbar}g_s\mu_B B^i(t) =: \mu B^i$. The matrix composed of the magnetic field in Eq. (2) is valued in the Lie algebra of the $SU(2)$ group, $su(2)$, namely, $A(t) = A^i(t)J_i$, with $J_i = -\frac{i}{2}\sigma_i$ the (traceless skew-Hermitian 2×2) matrices which satisfy $[J_i, J_j] = \epsilon_{ij}^{k} J_k$ where ϵ_{ij}^{k} are the structure constants (Levi-Civita symbol)

$$A(t) = -\frac{\mu}{2} \begin{pmatrix} iB^3(t) & iB^1(t) + B^2(t) \\ iB^1(t) - B^2(t) & -iB^3(t) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

This matrix has a geometric interpretation, in the present formalism, as we will see in a moment. Here, we are interested in finding exact solutions of Eq. (2). Let us assume that we have an exact solution of the Schrödinger-Pauli equation, i.e., that we have analytical expressions for the spinor field

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(t) \\ \psi_2(t) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

as well as for the magnetic field $\vec{B}(t)$ in such a way that when we put the pair $(\vec{B}, |\psi(t)\rangle)$ in Eq. (2), the equation holds. Next, we make the transformation

$$|\psi'(t)\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \psi'_1(t) \\ \psi'_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = G(t) \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(t) \\ \psi_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = G(t)|\psi(t)\rangle \quad (5)$$

on the spinor field $|\psi(t)\rangle$, where the matrix $G(t)$ is in $SU(2)$. Is the spinor $|\psi'(t)\rangle$ a solution of the Schrödinger-Pauli equation? If so, what is the new magnetic field $\vec{B}'(t)$? The answer is in the affirmative. By plugging Eq. (5) into Eq. (2), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla'|\psi'(t)\rangle &:= \left(\frac{d}{dt} + A'^i(t)J_i \right) |\psi'(t)\rangle \\ &= G(t) \left(\frac{d}{dt} + A^i(t)J_i \right) |\psi(t)\rangle \\ &= G(t)\nabla|\psi(t)\rangle = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

provided that the matrix composed of the magnetic field (3) transforms as

$$A'(t) = G(t)A(t)G^{-1}(t) + G(t)\frac{d}{dt}G^{-1}(t), \quad (7)$$

which is precisely the transformation rule for a Yang-Mills connection, $SU(2)$ being the local (in time) gauge group. Thus, it is clear that if the pair $(A^i(t), |\psi(t)\rangle)$ is a solution of Eq. (2), then the pair $(A'^i(t), |\psi'(t)\rangle)$ is also a new solution of the Schrödinger-Pauli equation. From Eq. (7) it is easy to compute the new magnetic field $\vec{B}'(t)$. If we parametrize the $G(t)$ matrix as

$$\begin{aligned} G(t) &= e^{\vec{\alpha}(t) \cdot \vec{J}} \\ &= \cos\left(\frac{\alpha(t)}{2}\right) - i\hat{\alpha}(t) \cdot \vec{\sigma} \sin\left(\frac{\alpha(t)}{2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and we insert this expression into Eq. (7), a straightforward calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{B}'(t) &= \vec{B}(t) \cos \alpha(t) - (\vec{B}(t) \times \hat{\alpha}(t)) \sin \alpha(t) + \\ &+ 2\hat{\alpha}(t)(\vec{B}(t) \cdot \hat{\alpha}(t)) \sin^2\left(\frac{\alpha(t)}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{d}{dt} \vec{\alpha}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

with $\vec{\alpha}(t) = (\alpha^1(t), \alpha^2(t), \alpha^3(t))$, $\alpha(t) = |\vec{\alpha}(t)|$, and $\hat{\alpha}(t) = \frac{\vec{\alpha}(t)}{\alpha(t)}$. The new magnetic field $\vec{B}'(t)$ has i) a new temporal dependence through $\cos \alpha(t)$ along the original direction of the magnetic field $\vec{B}(t)$, ii) a rotation term around $\hat{\alpha}(t)$, iii) a contribution along $\hat{\alpha}(t)$ from the projection of $\vec{B}(t)$, and iv) a tangential contribution (last term on the right-hand side of Eq. (9)). Notice how general the expression for the magnetic field $\vec{B}'(t)$ is. It depends on three arbitrary functions $\alpha_1(t)$, $\alpha_2(t)$, and $\alpha_3(t)$ once the magnetic field $\vec{B}(t)$ has been given. Actually, we can consider the three components

of $\vec{B}(t) = (B^1(t), B^2(t), B^3(t))$ also as arbitrary functions because in the formalism $\vec{B}(t)$ is free and it has to be specified.

Let us summarize the results so far. The dynamics of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles in a magnetic field $\vec{B}(t)$ can be interpreted as the compatibility condition of the Yang-Mills covariant derivative on the spinor field, namely, $\nabla|\psi(t)\rangle = 0$ with $A^i(t) = \mu B^i(t)$ the gauge potential of the $SU(2)$ gauge theory (see Eq. (2)). Under the transformation (5), the new spinor field $|\psi'(t)\rangle$ and the new magnetic field $\vec{B}'(t)$ (given by Eq. (9)) also do satisfy the Schrödinger-Pauli equation (2) [9]. Readers interested in applying the present formalism have to use the general expressions (5), (8), and (9). Now, we give an example.

Example. From constant to rotating magnetic fields. Let us take $\vec{B} = B_0 \hat{k}$, with B_0 a constant. The spinor $|\psi(t)\rangle$ which is a solution of the Schrödinger-Pauli equation with $\vec{B} = B_0 \hat{k}$ is

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{10}(t) \\ \psi_{20}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i(\frac{\mu B_0}{2}t)} \psi_{10} \\ e^{+i(\frac{\mu B_0}{2}t)} \psi_{20} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

where ψ_{10} , ψ_{20} are two complex constants that fix the orientation of the original state. We are ready to find the *new* magnetic field $\vec{B}'(t)$ and the *new* spinor field $|\psi'(t)\rangle$. We give $\vec{\alpha} = \alpha(t)\hat{i}$ with $\alpha(t)$ an arbitrary function of time. Thus, from Eq. (9) we have the expression of the new magnetic field

$$\vec{B}'(t) = B_0 \left[\cos \alpha(t) \hat{k} - \sin \alpha(t) \hat{j} \right] + \frac{1}{\mu} \dot{\alpha}(t) \hat{i}, \quad (11)$$

where $\dot{\alpha}(t) = \frac{d\alpha(t)}{dt}$. $\vec{B}'(t)$ is a rotating magnetic field around the x axis. This fact becomes clear if we set for simplicity $\alpha(t) = \omega t$, to get

$$\vec{B}'(t) = B_0 \left[\cos(\omega t) \hat{k} - \sin(\omega t) \hat{j} \right] + \frac{\omega}{\mu} \hat{i}. \quad (12)$$

By inserting $\vec{\alpha} = \alpha(t)\hat{i}$ into Eq. (8) we obtain the explicit form of the group element $G(t) = \cos(\alpha/2) - i\sigma_1 \sin(\alpha/2)$, and the expression for the new spinor field is

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi'(t)\rangle &= G(t)|\psi(t)\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \psi'_1(t) \\ \psi'_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\frac{\alpha}{2}) e^{-i(\frac{\mu B_0}{2}t)} \psi_{10} - i \sin(\frac{\alpha}{2}) e^{+i(\frac{\mu B_0}{2}t)} \psi_{20} \\ \cos(\frac{\alpha}{2}) e^{+i(\frac{\mu B_0}{2}t)} \psi_{20} - i \sin(\frac{\alpha}{2}) e^{-i(\frac{\mu B_0}{2}t)} \psi_{10} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Now, we come back to the geometric structure of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ dynamics. As in every gauge theory, we have also the *covariant derivative* on the adjoint bundle [6]. In the case of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles in a magnetic field, the equation of motion which resides in the associated bundle is

$$\nabla n^i := \frac{dn^i(t)}{dt} + \epsilon^i_{jk} A^j(t) n^k(t) = 0, \quad (14)$$

with $n^i(t) = \langle \psi(t) | \sigma^i | \psi(t) \rangle$. Notice that $n(t) = n^i(t) dt \otimes R_i$ is a local (in time) one-form valued in the adjoint representation of $su(2)$, with R_i 3×3 matrices satisfying $[R_i, R_j] = \epsilon_{ij}^k R_k$. Under the transformations (5), (8), and (9), we get

$$\frac{dn^i(t)}{dt} + \epsilon^i_{jk} A'^j(t) n'^k(t) = 0, \quad (15)$$

with $n'^i(t) = \langle \psi'(t) | \sigma^i | \psi'(t) \rangle$, $A'^i(t) = \mu B'^i(t)$ as was expected. Notice that Eq. (14) follows from application of the Ehrenfest theorem.

Now, some comments on the *direct problem* and *inverse technique* approaches in quantum mechanics. The direct problem consists in giving the magnetic field $\vec{B}(t)$ and finding the spinor field $|\psi(t)\rangle$ which satisfies the Schrödinger-Pauli equation. On the other hand, inverse techniques try to find the possible magnetic fields $\vec{B}(t)$ that are compatible with a given spinor field $|\psi(t)\rangle$. The present formalism is something in between these two approaches. The proposal developed here determines simultaneously *both* the magnetic field $\vec{B}'(t)$ and the spinor field $|\psi'(t)\rangle$ from a given initial pair $(\vec{B}(t), |\psi(t)\rangle)$, and the new pair $(\vec{B}'(t), |\psi'(t)\rangle)$ satisfies also the Schrödinger-Pauli equation.

Also, let us emphasize that the present formalism is not that of rotating-frame techniques [10], for the physical interpretation underlying both cases is completely different. Here, we interpret the *old* and *new* pairs $(\vec{B}(t), |\psi(t)\rangle)$ as *different* physical solutions associated with the dynamics of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles. In [10], as opposed to here, the rotating-frame technique is only a tool to understand the *same* physical situation from the point of view of the laboratory frame as well as from the rotating frame viewpoint. Even though these results would admit an interpretation in the rotating-frame formalism, that point of view is restricted to two-level systems and it does not apply to the generic case of n -level systems that we consider from now on.

The generalization to systems with time-dependent potentials is straightforward. This type of physical situation appears, for instance, when systems are perturbed with time-dependent potentials. Without loss of generality, let us focus in the nondegenerate case. The Schrödinger equation of a n -level system with time-dependent potential is

$$\hat{H}(t) |\psi(t)\rangle = i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\psi(t)\rangle. \quad (16)$$

Here, $\hat{H}(t)$ is a time-dependent $n \times n$ matrix, and $|\psi(t)\rangle$ is an n -dimensional vector. We are interested in finding exact solutions to Eq. (16). Because $\hat{H}(t)$ is a Hamiltonian, $\hat{H}(t)$ is a $n \times n$ Hermitian matrix. This means that the term $\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{H}(t)$ in

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\psi(t)\rangle + \frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{H}(t) |\psi(t)\rangle = 0 \quad (17)$$

is a $n \times n$ skew-hermitian matrix, i.e., $\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{H}(t)$ is valued in the (real) Lie algebra of the $U(n)$ group, $u(n)$, which means we can express $\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{H}(t)$ as

$$\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{H}(t) = A^i(t) J_i, \quad (18)$$

where J_i , $i = 1, \dots, n^2$, are the generators of $u(n)$. Notice that we are working in the fundamental representation of the $U(n)$ group ($|\psi(t)\rangle$ is an n -component vector and J_i are $n \times n$ skew-hermitian matrices). This is the key property we are going to exploit. Therefore, we can rewrite the Schrödinger equation (17) as

$$\nabla |\psi(t)\rangle := \left(\frac{d}{dt} + A^i(t) J_i \right) |\psi(t)\rangle = 0. \quad (19)$$

The geometric meaning of the equation of motion is very clear. Dynamics reduces to the compatibility condition of the Yang-Mills covariant derivative on the state vector. This geometric meaning is very suggestive. It induces us to make the transformation

$$|\psi'(t)\rangle = G(t) |\psi(t)\rangle \quad (20)$$

on the state vector $|\psi(t)\rangle$, with $G(t)$ an $U(n)$ matrix. By doing this, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla' |\psi'(t)\rangle &:= \left(\frac{d}{dt} + A'^i(t) J_i \right) |\psi'(t)\rangle \\ &= G(t) \left(\frac{d}{dt} + A^i(t) J_i \right) |\psi(t)\rangle = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

with

$$A'^i(t) J_i = G(t) A^i(t) J_i G^{-1}(t) + G(t) \frac{d}{dt} G^{-1}(t), \quad (22)$$

the transformation law for a Yang-Mills connection valued in $u(n)$. A beautiful result comes from these last three expressions. If the pair $(A^i(t), |\psi(t)\rangle)$ is a solution of the equation of motion (19), i.e., if the analytical expressions of $A^i(t)$, and $|\psi(t)\rangle$ satisfy Eq. (19), then the *new* pair $(A'^i(t), |\psi'(t)\rangle)$ is also a solution of Eq. (19). Here, we have written our equations in terms of the concepts and notions of Yang-Mills theories [6]. This was done to show the geometric structure which underlies in the present formalism. Readers interested in the expression of the *new* Hamiltonians $\hat{H}'(t)$ have to consider

$$\hat{H}'(t) = G(t) \hat{H}(t) G^{-1}(t) + \hbar G(t) \frac{d}{dt} G^{-1}(t), \quad (23)$$

as well as the transformation of the state vector (20). Notice that there are n^2 time-dependent functions associated with the new Hamiltonian and state vector (see Eqs. (20) and (23)) because the gauge group involved is $U(n)$.

As in the case of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ systems, the *covariant derivative* in Eq. (19),

$$\nabla := \frac{d}{dt} + A^i(t)J_i \quad (24)$$

is nothing but the covariant derivative in the associated vector bundle $E = P \times C^n$, corresponding to the principal bundle $P(R^+, G)$; R^+ means the time evolution of the system and C the complex numbers of each one of the entries in $|\psi(t)\rangle$. As was mentioned, the representation of the matrices of the Lie algebra in the covariant derivative belongs to the same dimension of the state $|\psi\rangle$. The Yang-Mills derivative also allows us to work with different representations of the Lie algebra (a fact that is not used here).

Note also that (as in every gauge theory) we have another associated vector bundle, the adjoint vector bundle $E_g = P \times_{Ad} g$, where the covariant derivative is given by

$$\nabla \lambda^i(t) := \frac{d}{dt} \lambda^i(t) + f_{jk}{}^i A^j(t) \lambda^k(t), \quad (25)$$

where λ is a local (in time) one-form valued in the adjoint representation of $u(n)$, $\lambda = \lambda^i(t)J_i \otimes dt$. Therefore, the adjoint equation of motion of the Schrödinger equation exists and is given by

$$\nabla \lambda^i(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \lambda^i(t) + f_{jk}{}^i A^j(t) \lambda^k(t) = 0, \quad (26)$$

which follows from application of the Ehrenfest theorem, $\lambda^i(t) = \langle \psi(t) | iJ_i | \psi(t) \rangle$. Under the transformation (20) the adjoint equation transforms as

$$\nabla' \lambda'^i(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \lambda'^i(t) + f_{jk}{}^i A'^j(t) \lambda'^k(t) = 0, \quad (27)$$

with $\lambda'^i(t) = \langle \psi'(t) | iJ_i | \psi'(t) \rangle$.

Let us summarize our results. We have found that there is a natural geometric structure between exact solutions of time-dependent potentials in n -level quantum systems and gauge theories. It has to be clear that in the standard application of gauge theories, there is a fixed system where the gauge symmetry is a property of the *same* system. Here, on the contrary, we use gauge theories as the geometric structure that allows us to relate *different* quantum systems (in the sense of different solutions to the equation of motion). At the same time, this geometric interpretation provides an alternative method for the traditional (and limited) ones allowed in the literature to attack physical situations with time-dependent Hamiltonians.

Finally, the possibility exists of interpreting the present formalism as a *true* gauge theory. This is as follows. Let us fix a *specific* n -level system from the very beginning. Next, we ask for those allowed transformations $\tilde{G}(t)$ on the state vector $|\psi(t)\rangle$,

$$|\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle = \tilde{G}(t)|\psi(t)\rangle, \quad (28)$$

in such a way that the new Hamiltonian *is* exactly the same as the old one; i.e.,

$$\hat{H}(t) = \tilde{G}(t)\hat{H}(t)\tilde{G}^{-1}(t) + \frac{\hbar}{i}\tilde{G}(t)\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{G}^{-1}(t) \quad (29)$$

is satisfied. We call this case the *strong* gauge condition of the system. More precisely, this transformation does give us all the state vectors $|\psi(t)\rangle$ belonging to the same equivalence class defined by a specific gauge potential $A^i(t)$. From this viewpoint, $\tilde{G}(t)$ is a *non-Abelian* phase that relates the family of state vectors $\{|\psi(t)\rangle\}$ associated with the *same* Hamiltonian. One can think of this condition as a very restrictive one. There is, in fact, also a *weak* condition. This last condition takes advantage of the adjoint equation of motion (26). Instead of looking at the equivalence class of the state vectors $|\psi(t)\rangle \sim |\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle$ defined by the same Hamiltonian, we look at the equality of the mean values $\lambda^i(t) = \tilde{\lambda}^i(t)$, computed with the state vectors $|\psi(t)\rangle$ and $|\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle = \tilde{G}(t)|\psi(t)\rangle$, respectively. Therefore, from the adjoint equation (26), in this case it follows that $f_{jk}{}^i(A^j(t) - A'^j(t))\lambda^k(t) = 0$. So the transformation $A'(t) = A^i(t) + \Omega^i(t)$ with $\Omega^i(t)$ in the same direction as $\lambda^i(t)$, leads to the same physics (same analytical expressions for the mean values). An interesting application is precisely the dynamics of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles in magnetic fields. In this case, the *strong* gauge condition gives all the state vectors compatible with a certain magnetic field $B^i(t)$, while the *weak* gauge condition $\epsilon_{jk}{}^i(B'^j(t) - B^j(t))n^k(t) = 0$ gives the same analytical expressions for the mean values of $n^i(t) = \langle \psi(t) | \sigma^i | \psi(t) \rangle$, associated with $B^i(t)$, as well as for $\tilde{n}^i(t) = \langle \tilde{\psi}(t) | \sigma^i | \tilde{\psi}(t) \rangle$, associated with $B'^i(t) = B^i(t) + \Omega^i(t)$, where $\Omega^j(t)$ is a time-dependent three-dimensional vector in the same direction of $n^j(t)$. Finally, coming back to the generic case, the implications of the weak gauge condition on the cyclic evolution of systems in the *space of mean values* $\lambda^i(t)$ and the relationship of this cyclic evolution with geometric phases in the space of parameters A^i are straightforward. In the case of a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ system, closed loops, in the space of the mean values n^i of the components of the spin, relate the geometric phases associated with $B^i(t)$ and with $B^i(t) + \Omega^i(t)$, respectively, when $B^i(t)$ and $\Omega^i(t)$ are periodic functions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks are due to Roberto De Pietri and Carlo Rovelli for comments. Also, we thank D. J. Fernández C. and O. Rosas-Ortiz for carefully reading the manuscript and for their valuable comments. A.P.L. thanks all the members of the Department of Physics of the University of Maryland for their kind hospitality. Also, the authors acknowledge financial support provided by the *Sistema Nacional de Investigadores* of the Secretaría de Educación Pública (SEP) and by CONACyT of Mexico.

- [1] P.A.M. Dirac, *The principles of quantum mechanics* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1958).
- [2] M.V. Berry, *Proc. R. Soc. London A* **392**, 45 (1984).
- [3] Y. Aharonov and J. Anandan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58**, 1593 (1987).
- [4] J. Samuel and R. Bhandari, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**, 2339 (1988).
- [5] C. Rovelli, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **80**, 4613 (1998).
- [6] There are many books in the literature on the mathematical structure of gauge theories; see, for instance, M. Nakahara, *Geometry, Topology and Physics* (IOP Publishing, Bristol, 1990).
- [7] G. Badurek, H. Weinfurter, R. Gähler, A. Kollmar, S. Wehinger, and A. Zeilinger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 307 (1993).
- [8] A.G. Wagh, V.C. Rakhecha, J. Summhammer, G. Badurek, H. Weinfurter, B.E. Allman, H. Kaiser, K. Hamacher, D.L. Jacobson, and S.A. Werner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 755 (1997).
- [9] The present method tells us that the *form* of the Schrödinger-Pauli equation is the same under the performed transformations. Of course, $B^i(t)$ and $B'^i(t)$ have to satisfy the Maxwell equations in order to be identified as genuine magnetic fields.
- [10] A.G. Wagh and V.C. Rakhecha, *Phys. Rev. A* **48**, R1729 (1993).